

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully thanks the Examiner for the consideration provided to this application, and respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

The Examiner is also thanked for determining each of claims 5-9 allowable. The Examiner is further thanked for indicating that each of claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Each of claims 1-4 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Each of claims 10-12 has been added.

Claims 5-12 are now pending in this application. Claim 5 is in independent form.

I. New Claims

a. Claim 10

Claim 10 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of the cited references teach (i.e., disclose and/or enable), alone or in combination, “the IP device controls the call using a protocol having a call control portion and a voice control portion, via the voice control portion, the IP device adapted to receive a voice command from a cell phone and determine a party to call from the voice command, the IP device adapted to cause an establishment of a connection between the cell phone and the party”.

b. Claim 11

Claim 11 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of the cited references teach (i.e., disclose and/or enable), alone or in combination, “the IP device provides a second service application under control of the IP device, the second service application adapted to transfer the call from a cell phone to the PBX phone, the IP device adapted to receive a voice command from the cell phone, the voice command indicative of a party to call, the IP device adapted to cause a connection to be established to a third party via a dial request based upon the voice command”.

c. Claim 12

Claim 12 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of the cited references teach (i.e., disclose and/or enable), alone or in combination, “wherein the call is routed through a Public Switched Telephone Network and an IP network”

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in clear condition for allowance. Reconsideration, withdrawal of all grounds of rejection, and issuance of a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account 50-2504. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 434-972-9988 to discuss any matter regarding this application.

Date: 29 April 2009

Respectfully submitted,
Michael Haynes PLC
/Michael N. Haynes/
Michael N. Haynes
USPTO Registration: 40,014
Telephone: 434-972-9988
Facsimile: 815-550-8850
1341 Huntersfield Close
Keswick, VA 22947